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INDICATIVE SOLUTIONS

## Solution 1 :

i. Vanilla is greater than discrete greater than continuous
(1.5 Marks)
ii. In general, it will be lower since the averaging process reduces overall variance in the risk neutral measure.
(1.5 Marks)
iii. If the value of the sum is higher do vanilla minus the sum; otherwise do the reverse
(1.5 Marks)
iv. The asset is drift less so when the American pays off there is precisely a $50 \%$ chance (in the risk neutral measure) that the European will pay off too. So the European is worth half as much as the American.
(1.5 Marks)
v. Price goes down
(1.5 Marks)
vi. This follows from Put Call parity and the fact the forward with this strike has zero value.
vii. For p to be a risk - neutral probability, both the securities must earn an expected return equal to $R$, or

$$
\text { a. } p=\frac{R-d_{1}}{u_{1}-d_{1}}=\frac{R-d_{2}}{u_{2}-d_{2}}
$$

b. It is then not hard to pick $R, u_{1}, u_{2}, d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ such that the statement for $p$ given earlier does not hold.
(1.5 Marks)
viii. Differentiate the put call parity equation twice and the result is obvious
(1.5 Marks)
ix. Suppose there is just one period to expiration and Sue-q < X, where $q$ is the dividend yield per period. Clearly the option has zero value at present as it will not be exercised at expiration. However, if $S>X$, the option has positive intrinsic value, which means it should be exercised now. These two conditions imply $\mathrm{X}<\mathrm{S}<\mathrm{Xu}^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{q}}$, which is possible when $\mathrm{u}<\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{q}}$.
(1.5 Marks)
x. Since $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{t})$ is a Brownian Motion, $[\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{s})] \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\mu(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s}), \sigma^{2}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s})\right)$. Thus,

$$
E[Y(t) \mid Y(s)]=E\left[e^{X(t)} \mid e^{X(s)}\right]=e^{X(s)} E\left[e^{X(t)-X(s)}\right]=Y(s) e^{(t-s)\left(\mu+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right)}
$$

(1.5 Marks)
[Total Marks-15]

## Solution 2 :

i. The value of the trader's position is $\mathbf{V}_{t}=\phi_{t} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{t}} . \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is risk free is and only if the coefficient of the randomness $d W_{t}$ is zero, during the small time interval $d t$. The change in the value during $d t$ is

$$
d V_{t}=\varphi_{t} d S_{t}-d C_{t}=\varphi_{t}\left(\mu S_{t} d t+\sigma S_{t} d W_{t}\right)-\left(\mu_{t}^{C} C_{t} d t+\sigma_{t}^{C} C_{t} d W_{t}\right)
$$

Since his position does not change; it is therefore risk-free if and only if

$$
\varphi_{t} \sigma S_{t}=\sigma_{t}^{C} C_{t}
$$

(3 Marks)
ii. The condition of no arbitrage means that the drift in the no - risk position must be the short term interest rate. Thus

$$
\varphi_{t} \mu S_{t}-\mu_{t}^{C} C_{t}=r V_{t}=r\left(\varphi S_{t}-C_{t}\right)
$$

which, on substituting $\phi_{t}$ gives

$$
\sigma_{t}^{C} \frac{C_{t} \mu}{\sigma}-\mu_{t}^{C} C_{t}=r\left(\frac{\sigma_{t}^{C} C_{t}}{\sigma}-C_{t}\right)
$$

Dividing both sides by $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{\sigma}_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ gives the result. This means that there exists a common risk premium $\lambda=(\mu-\mathbf{r}) / \sigma$, the same for all the assets and all derivatives of it.
(3 Marks)
iii. By Ito's Lemma

$$
d C_{t}=\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} d t+\frac{\partial C}{\partial S} d t+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} C}{\partial S^{2}}(d S)^{2}
$$

as theoher second derivatives are zero by the Ito rules. The only non-zero term is $(\mathbf{d S})^{2}$ is $\sigma^{2} \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{2}\left(\mathbf{d} \mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}=\sigma^{2} \mathbf{S}^{2} \mathbf{d t}$. Therefore,

$$
d C=\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial C}{\partial S} \mu S+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} C}{\partial S^{2}} \sigma^{2} S^{2}\right) d t+\sigma S \frac{\partial C}{\partial S} d W_{t}
$$

We then prove part c by identifying $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{t}} \mu_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{\mathbf{C}}$ with the coefficient of $d t$ and $\mathbf{C}_{t} \mu_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{\mathbf{C}}$ with the coefficient of $d W_{t}$.
(4 Marks)
iv. Tell us that

$$
\mu_{t}^{C}=r+\sigma_{t}^{C} \frac{\mu-r}{\sigma}=r+\left(\frac{S}{C}\right) \frac{\partial C}{\partial S}(\mu-r)
$$

Substituting in part c, we get

$$
\mu^{C}=C^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}+\mu S \frac{\partial C}{\partial S}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} S^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} C}{\partial S^{2}}\right)=r+\left(\frac{S}{C}\right) \frac{\partial C}{\partial S}(\mu-r)
$$

Thus the result follows. This means that the derivatives can be prices without knowing the rate of return on the asset.
(4 Marks)
[Total Marks-14]

## Solution 3 :

i. Moneyness is the relative position of the current price (or future price) of an underlying asset (e.g. stock) with respect to the strike price of a derivative.

First, we estimate the forward swap rate for duration 15 to 20 for the given forward bond prices. This can be done in multiple way.

If the swap is considered starting at start of 15 year (advance) then swap rate

$$
\frac{\text { Bond Price (15) - Bond Price (19) }}{\text { Sum (Bond Price from } 15-19)}=4 \%
$$

This scenario the swaption is at money
Case when considered in advance

$$
\frac{\text { Bond Price }(15) \text { - Bond Price }(20)}{\text { Sum (Bond Price from } 16-20)}=5.68 \%
$$

Swaption is in money as it is payer swaption and underlying swap has lower value
(3 Marks)
ii. We calculate the risk free rate at time $t=15$ from the bond price to be equal to $2.9 \%$. We can then use Black's formula

$$
P_{P S}=N A\left[i_{F} \Phi\left(d_{1}\right)-i_{S} \Phi\left(d_{2}\right)\right]
$$

Where

$$
A=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-i t_{i}}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right), \quad d_{1}=\frac{\ln \frac{i_{F}}{i_{S}}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{F}^{2} T}{\sigma_{F} \sqrt{ } T}, \quad d_{2}=\frac{\ln \frac{i_{F}}{i_{S}}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{F}^{2} T}{\sigma_{F} \sqrt{ } T}=d_{1}-\sigma_{F} \sqrt{ } \mathrm{~T}
$$

Using the estimate of the market volatility to be 25\%, we get: Intermediate Calculations:

Swap Tenor (years):
Swap Rate Period (years): 15
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (Volatilty * $\operatorname{sqrt}(15)$ ):
$\mathrm{d}_{1}$ :
$\mathrm{d}_{2}$
$\Phi\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ :
$\Phi d_{2}$ :
A:
Number of payments/ year:
Principal Amount:
15 year forward swap rate:
Volatility of the forward rate:
Risk Free rate:
Price:

5
0.9682458
0.4826274

- 0.4856185
0.6853198
0.3136188
4.4525463

1
Rs. 1000 crores
4.00\%
25.00\%
2.90\%

Rs. 42 crores
(4 Marks)
iii. Use the implied volatility estimated from the earlier part as $25 \%$. The new forward rate can be estimated from the bond price which is equal to $7 \%$ and the new risk free interest rate is 3.5\%.

The new price can again be calculated using Black's model

| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (Volatilty * sqrt(15)): | 0.9682458 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{1}:$ | 1.002194 |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{2}:$ | 0.0339488 |

The new price is Rs. 85 crores
(5 Marks)
iv. Market is given higher price than that can be estimated from implied volatility as the implied volatility is not the same when interest rates go up. This is referred to as the volatility smile where the underlying implied volatility changes with the moneyness of the option.
(4 Marks)
[Total Marks-16]

## Solution 4 :

i. The closed form solution allows the following:

- Represent the portfolio as a basket of options
- Determine the moneyness guarantees
- Estimate the future volatility of the invested assets
- Use Black Scholes model to calculate the price of the option
(2 Marks)
ii. The broad points for the Monte - Carlo simulations are as follow (ach can be expanded further):
- Generate lots of scenarios (around 5000) and then project the balance sheet in each f these scenarios
- Estimate the cost of option in each scenario and take average of the total cost to get the price of the option. The cost is then discounted using projected yield curves.
- This require application of risk neutral pricing of underlying liability by using the principle of market consistency and arbitrage free pricing.
(4 Marks)
iii. Future risk neutral stochastic projection of interest rates, equity returns, corporate bond and property returns will be required.
- Interest rate HJM , LIBOR model, equity - constant volatility, jump diffusion model for equity, constant volatility for property
- These models need to be calibrated to the current market prices to give market consistent pricing.
- We need correlation matrix to correlate the random number used in stochastic projection.
- Key input required are
- Interest rate model : current interest rate, swaption prices surface
- Equity \& property: option pricing surface and volatility estimates
- We also need historical return index to estimate the correlation between each
iv. Growth fund of the asset would change according to the table below:

|  |  |  |  | Equity |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Initial Asset Mix | Actual Exposure | $50 \%$ | $-50 \%$ |  |  |
| Equity | $30 \%$ | 300 | 450 | 150 |  |
| Corporate Bond | $30 \%$ | 300 | 300 | 300 |  |
| Government <br> Bond | $30 \%$ | 300 | 300 | 300 |  |
| Property | $10 \%$ | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | $100 \%$ | 1000 | 1150 | 850 |  |
|  | $\%$ <br> change |  | $15 \%$ | $-15 \%$ |  |

We need to apply $15 \%$ up and $-15 \%$ down for the liabilities or policy holder share.
The balance sheet becomes:

| Balance sheet at $\mathrm{t}=0$ |  | Delta 12\% |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $50 \%$ Rise | $50 \%$ Fall |
| Growth Fund | 1000 | 1150 | 850 |
| Match Fund | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Total Asset | 1600 | 1750 | 1450 |
| Policyholders <br> Share | 1200 | 1380 | 1020 |
| Guarantee Costs | 300 | 278.40 | 321.60 |
| Total Liability | 1500 | 1658.40 | 1341.60 |
| Free Capital | 100 | 91.60 | 108.40 |

As can be observed from the above table for delta of $12 \%$, free capital is lower for equity rise. This is due to fact there are 2 changes in opposite direction.

- Miss match between asset and policy holder share
- Change in guarantee due to increase in policyholder share
v. The hedging first requires a match of asset and liabilities; hence purchase equity worth Rs. 200 Crores.
Buy put options on BSE with matching delta of the guarantee
(3 Marks)
vi. Having notional positive exposure in growth fund would mean if the property goes down then policyholder share would go down due to link between the \% return on growth fund
and policyholder share. Over assets will not change as negative in growth fund will be compensated by positive in match fund.

The balance sheet becomes:

| Balance sheet at t 0 |  |  |  |  |  | Notional <br> Property | $20 \%$ Property Price <br> Rise | 20\% Property <br> Price Fall |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth Fund | 1000 | 1100 | 1140 | 1060 |  |  |  |  |
| Match Fund | 600 | 500 | 480 | 520 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Asset | 1600 | 1600 | 1620 | 1580 |  |  |  |  |
| Policyholders <br> Share | 1200 | 1200 | 1244 | 1156 |  |  |  |  |
| Guarantee Costs | 300 | 300 | 291 | 309 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Liability | 1500 | 1500 | 1535 | 1465 |  |  |  |  |
| Free Capital | 100 | 100 | 85 | 115 |  |  |  |  |

Suggested strategy does work. Risk is rise in the property value. Regulator may not allow this kind of transaction.
(6 Marks)
[Total Marks-25]

## Solution 5 :

The maximum value of a call option is the value of the underlying itself. This is because the call is an option to buy an asset and hence its value cannot be more than the price of the asset.

For the minimum values,

- The value has to be greater than 0
- If we construct a portfolio of one call option, short share and bond with price $X /(1+r)$ where $X$ is strike price, $r$ is interest rate and $S$ is current share price, then at expiry, we would have

|  | Current Value | $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t}) \leq \mathrm{X}$ | $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t})>\mathrm{X}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Call | $\mathrm{c}(0)$ | 0 | $\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{X}$ |
| Short Sell | $-\mathrm{S}(0)$ | $-\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t})$ | $-\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t})$ |
| Buy Bond | $\mathrm{X} /(1+\mathrm{r})$ | X | X |
| Total | $\mathrm{c}(0)-\mathrm{S}(0)+\left\{\mathrm{X} /\left((1+\mathrm{r})^{\wedge} \mathrm{T}\right)\right\}$ | $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t})$ | 0 |

Hence $c(0)>\max (0, S(0)-X /(1+r))$
Similarly p(0) > max $(0, X /(1+r)-S(0))$
Initial Bound on American option would be current intrinsic value
$\mathrm{C}(0) \geq \operatorname{Max}(0, \mathrm{~S}(0)-\mathrm{X})$
$P(0) \geq \operatorname{Max}(0, X-S(0))$

For Call option European lower bound is higher than American.
We will expect American call option to be worth more than European call option given additional feature of any time exercise. Thus lower bound of European call option holds for the American option.

Hence,

$$
\mathrm{C}(0)>\max (0, \mathrm{~S}(0)-\mathrm{X} /(1+\mathrm{r}))
$$

European put is lower than American put hence initial bound is correct.
(5 Marks)

## Solution 6 :

Let the historical average from $m$ prices be $A$ as of time zero. The terminal payoff for a call is then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\max \left(\frac{m A+\sum_{i=0}^{n} S_{i}}{m+n+1}-X, \quad 0\right)=\max \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n} S_{i}}{m+n+1}-\left(X-\frac{m A}{m+n-1}\right), \quad 0\right) \\
=\frac{n+1}{m+n+1} \max \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n} S_{i}}{n+1}-\frac{m+n+1}{n+1}\left(X-\frac{m A}{m+n-1}\right), 0\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

So it becomes $\frac{n+1}{m+n+1}$ options with strike price $\frac{m+n+1}{n+1}\left(X-\frac{m A}{m+n-1}\right)$.

## Solution 7 :

The cash flow is identical to that of the fixed rate payer / floating rate receiver. To start with, there is no initial cash flow. Furthermore, on all six payment dates, net position consists of a cash inflow computed based on $\$ 100$ million of principal, interest rate of LIBOR $+0.5 \%$ and time period of 6 month while the cash outflow is a constant $\$ 5$ million.

Hence this reflects the payments in a swap deal and thus can be represented as a synthetic swap deal.
(7 Marks)

## Solution 8 :

Given that we know the dividends, we can compute the option value by replacing the current stock price $S$ with $S(1-\delta)^{m}$. This effectively implies a payoff function of

$$
\max \left((1-\delta)^{m} S-X\right)=(1-\delta)^{m} \max \left(S-(1-\delta)^{-m} X\right)
$$

Hence proved
(5 Marks)

## Solution 9 :

The price tree takes the values 160 at time 0,240 or 80 at time 1,360 or 120 or 40 at time 2 and 540 or 180 or 60 or 20 at time 3 . The value of $p$ is 0.7 and that of $(1-p)=0.3$. This implies that the value of the put is 21.78 .

The solutions as worked out on a excel sheet is enclosed below. Please note that the numbers in black in the working represent the asset values at different points and the numbers in red below that are the payoffs / final option value (at time $t=0$ ).

| $\mathrm{T}=0$ | $t=1$ | $t=2$ | $t=3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 540.00 |
|  |  |  | 0.00 |
|  |  | 360.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 240.00 |  | 180.00 |
|  | 7.47 |  | 0.00 |
| 160.00 |  | 120.00 |  |
| 21.78 |  | 30.00 |  |
|  | 80.00 |  | 60.00 |
|  | 70.00 |  | 90.00 |
|  |  | 40.00 |  |

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
110.00 & 20.00 \\
& 130.00
\end{array}
$$

| $160 p+80$ | 192.17 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $p$ | 0.70 |
| $1-p$ | 0.30 |

(5 Marks)

